

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION 4 ATLANTA FEDERAL CENTER 61 FORSYTH STREET ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303-8960

December 17, 2014

Mr. John Richard Lint
Forest Supervisor
Chester County Stream and Riparian
Restoration/Enhancement Project
4931 Broad River Road
Columbia, South Carolina 29212

SUBJECT: Final Environmental Impact Statement, Chester County Stream and Riparian Restoration/Enhancement Project, Sumter National Forest, Chester County, S.C.

CEQ No.: 20140340

Dear Mr. Lint:

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region 4 Office has received and reviewed the subject document and is commenting in accordance with Section 309 of the Clean Air Act (CAA) and Section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). The Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) proposes to restore and enhance the hydrologic and aquatic functions of approximately 18 miles of streams within four Sumter National Forest watersheds in Chester County, S.C.

The U.S. Forest Service (USFS) evaluated two alternatives, including the No Action alternative. The proposed action alternative includes the primary restoration and enhancement activities of floodplain reconnection, floodplain excavation, floodplain transitions, floodplain benches and planting native trees, shrubs and herbaceous vegetation. EPA notes that the Forest Management Plan will require an amendment for the proposed action. The four (4) streams that would be addressed through this proposed action include Clarks Creek, Little Turkey Creek, McCluney Branch, and an unnamed tributary to Clarks Creek.

The FEIS identifies that timber harvesting will also be conducted as part of the stream restoration and enhancement project. Approximately 13 miles of temporary roads would be constructed as part of the proposed action. Due to the extent of soil disturbance for this proposed action, the introduction of aggressive non-native invasive species (e.g., Japanese knotweed, Chinese privet, etc.) is a potential long-term concern that should be addressed to meet the project's wildlife habitat enhancement goals. EPA requests that the most stringent Best Management Practices (BMPs) be employed during and after construction activities including periodic monitoring and annual eradication treatments for non-native invasive species, as necessary.

EPA notes the 'mitigation measures' include: erosion and sediment control measures for water quality; aquatic organism re-introduction, rare plant communities and old growth remnants, proposed, threatened and sensitive species, and scenic and recreation. For water quality impacts associated with the proposed action, the USFS is proposing to minimize soil runoff into streams by strict adherence to numerous soil erosion and sediment control measures, streams would be diverted and pumped around active construction areas when needed to reduce work in flowing water, and repairs for in-channel work may require the use of low ground pressure equipment or mats to access problem areas. The USFS proposes aquatic species reintroduction in at least one project stream immediately following restoration and in the remaining streams if 2 years of monitoring indicates low species diversity and density. Rare plant communities and future old growth remnants are planned to be avoided by the USFS to the extent possible. Measures to minimize potential impacts to the Bald Eagle nests and communal roost sites and Georgia Aster sites are also described in the FEIS. EPA supports these avoidance and minimization measures and requests that they be included as environmental project commitments in the Record of Decision.

EPA does not have any substantial environmental concerns for the proposed action as described. Should you have any questions concerning our review comments, please contact Ken Clark at clark.ken@epa.gov.

Sincerely,

Heinz J. Mueller, Chief NEPA Program Office